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interior technicity: unplugged and/ or switched on: provocation

Interior Technicity: Unplugged and/ or Switched On reflects on how interiors have always been augmenting entities and how they continue to be so—in other words, extending, facilitating and consolidating bodies within socio-cultural environments. Rather than seeing an interior as an ‘inside’ in opposition to a world beyond, it asks what modes of ‘folding inward’ have equipped and enabled the spatial environment? Technicity—the world of tools and technical objects that extend and mediate memory, as Bernard Steigler (1998) describes it—has never been what inside-ness, in its sheltering of life, keeps at bay; mediation is from the start technical, indexed to inscribing practices rich in temporal and embodied implications. By this reading, interiors have always been augmented and augmenting (in the sense of the Latin ‘augmentare’: to increase, enlarge, or enrich).

This idea journal issue considers this mode of ‘folding inward’ as a condition of an interior’s specificity. Whether it be a small structure such as a tramping hut or a tiny house, a large complex interior environment such as an airport or shopping mall, handmade with local materials such as Samoan fale, or the result of manufacturing processes assembling artificial and pre-fabricated elements as in the case of a space craft, boat or train, interiors are augmented, mediated, generated or embellished by technologies. The effect of these technologies is not neutral; one’s experience of an interior is significantly influenced by the affective resonance of its technologies.

This issue builds on existing scholarship on the topic of interiors and technology, with special mention of the 2012 issue ‘Special Effects: Technology and the Interior Experience,’ Interiors: Design, Culture, Architecture edited by Anne Massey and John Turpin. In doing so, contributions expand knowledge on the topic by addressing these questions:

+ How do interior technologies bear out their allegiances to various forms of political and economic assemblages while making (seemingly) life better, more efficient, more productive, and more comfortable?
+ How do interior technologies serve to meet the minimum standards of human welfare relative to air, light, water and well-being as outlined by United Nations Sustainable Development Goals?
+ What new blended realities and spatial possibilities are being instigated by innovative uses of photogrammetry, virtual reality, augmented reality, laser-scanning, machine learning, and remote sensing?
+ What cultural references, positions and implications do interior technologies offer?
+ What influence do interior technologies have on privacy, safety and well-being?
+ What is the aesthetic signature of an interior technology?
+ What is the materiality of an interior technology? What happens at its boundary or edge condition?
+ How do interior technologies, especially overlooked, antiquated, unorthodox or ingenious spatial contraptions confirm, challenge or speculate on what we understand or assume an interior to be?
+ In a broader context, what is the significant impact of rapidly advancing and widely accessible information and social technologies that are driving the revolutionary upheaval through all that can be conceived as ‘interior’?
+ How do new mediations between environments, bodies, technology and media, including biofeedback, performative actions and affective gesture, sensory and atmospheric production, challenge or expand our understandings of interiors?
+ How are interiors implicated in a shifting relationship [convergence?] between bodies and technology.
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Julieanna Preston
Executive Editor
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Welcome.

It is my great pleasure to introduce the newest issue of idea journal.

Readers will notice some significant changes to the journal since the 2017 issue Dark Matter. In 2019, the IDEA Board appointed me to lead a new editorial team and commissioned us to envision three issues to be published over the course of three years. Over the past twelve months, the editorial team has been engrossed in several interconnected journal specific initiatives prompted by IDEA's desire to increase the journal’s ranking and profile internationally, expand its readership, update its visual identity and respond to contemporary issues specific and associated with interiors and interiority.

First, we were charged to put the journal on a path to achieve a Q1 or Q2 status, no small task indeed. Our collective investigations revealed that the criteria required an international publisher of merit, open access, a rigorous review process and at least one issue per year and a minimum of twelve articles per issue amongst other less demanding aspects. With the endorsement of the IDEA Board, we secured Art Architecture Design Research (AADR) as the journal’s publisher, Curatorial Editor Rochus Hinkel at the helm. This collaboration prompted the journal to be distributed for the foreseeable future in two different ways: first as an e-pub available to individuals and libraries as a full issue on e-pub platforms such as kindle and iBook, with select articles posted on

cite as:
the IDEA website, and a year later, the whole issue available on the IDEA website via open access. In addition, the online metadata and cross-referring markers were refreshed, including using search engines such as Google Scholar to increase and document the citations each article attracts, an important factor in today's pressures to publish and demonstrate ‘impact’ and ‘uptake’ as research academics. In less than a few months, citation numbers are up significantly. While the journal website portal continues to offer us new challenges, it is proving to be a very useful tool to structure the call, review, revision and production of idea journal.

Our second effort focussed on drawing the journal to the attention of a larger world-wide audience of researchers, students and industry professionals centred on spatial design, interior design, and interior architecture. We extended the journal’s reach beyond the edges of what would be considered a core readership to engage other knowing bodies grappling with similar concerns of space, perception, sustainability, experience, materials, philosophies and technology in culturally specific and diverse communities, geographies, and political circumstances. This initiative arises from IDEA’s commitment to a shared set of values, practices and theories and the rich interfaces these have with other associated disciplines, methods and fields of research.

The changes mentioned above necessitated that the pool of reviewers expand to reflect new aspirations and areas of expertise, a pool that is now in place, doubled in size and actively growing. It also prompted us to refresh IDEA’s commitment to support new and emerging researchers especially with regard to developing critical, reflective and experimental skills to communicate and present innovative design. The forthcoming issues include articles and visual essays from a number of new researchers that have been mentored extensively to bring the text and images to a high standard. In addition, on-going world events remind us again and again of the importance of turning to indigenous writers and makers, a matter that asks us to question our review criteria and processes, become more aware of systemic colonising practices and more open to other world views. These aims are a work-in-progress.
The IDEA Board also gave us the green light to redesign the journal, which included creating a journal-specific logo, revamping the journal portion of the website, and imagining new possibilities for reading the journal in a totally digital format capable of featuring live streaming, animation, videos and other forms of interactive content. You may also note that while the journal’s name has not changed entirely, it has morphed to reflect its changing focus, expanded audience and ethos. What you see before you is the product of that effort, an effort we could not have achieved without the creativity and expertise of Jo Bailey, our graphic designer, and Christina Houen, our copy editor.

There are three issues in the pipeline: *Interior Technicity: Unplugged and/or Switched On* (September 2020), *Co-constructing Body: Environments* (December 2020) and *(Extra) Ordinary Interiors: Practising Critical Reflection* (September 2021). For those enamoured by statistics, this issue, *Interior Technicity*, includes this introduction and fourteen articles, four of which are visual essays (Braatvedt, Griffin, Matthews, and Roes and Garlick), and one of which is a hybrid article spanning between research article and book review (Tipene). This issue includes research by a total of twenty-seven authors living and working in UK (1), USA (1), Canada (1), Belgium (2), Australia (11) or New Zealand (11). All articles have been through a double-blind peer-review process; some articles have been reviewed twice after substantial revisions.

We hope you applaud these changes and enjoy the new look and publishing opportunities.

‘Interior Technicity’ called for reflection on how interiors have always been augmenting entities and how they continue to be so—in other words, extending, facilitating and consolidating bodies within socio-cultural environments. Rather than seeing an interior as an ‘inside’ in opposition to a world beyond, it asked what modes of ‘folding inward’ have equipped and enabled the spatial environment? Technicity—the world of tools and technical objects that extend and mediate memory, as Bernard Steigler (1998) describes it—has never been what inside-ness, in its sheltering of life, keeps at bay; mediation is from the start
technical, indexed to inscribing practices rich in temporal and embodied implications. By this reading, interiors have always been augmented and augmenting (in the sense of the Latin ‘augmentare’: to increase, enlarge, or enrich).

Like every other journal issue I have edited, the call attracted a variety of topics and responses one could not anticipate. There were twenty-five expressions of interest and a subsequent sixteen complete manuscripts that, after the review process, reduced to fourteen final articles, several of which were collaboratively authored. As the forthcoming articles will attest, articles range from concerns of sustainable responsibility in a world of finite resources, to use of contemporary technology to observe alternative spatial circumstances, advocacy of post-humanism and principles of new materialism relative to interior production and unearthing evidence from cultural archives to revision urban
space and ethnic heritage. New conversations on longstanding concerns of interest to interior researchers linger on the nature of inside and outside bodily boundaries, the multiplicity of creative process and experience, and the interrogation of small yet important interior features in health care environs. Rather than summarise these stellar articles, I encourage you to read further, browse generously, and ruminate deeply.

My love of shaping a journal issue happens very much in the same spirit of catalysing a temporal event. Admittedly, I actually enjoy the editing process and thrive when author’s words are refined to be sharp, poetic, insightful and informed by creative work as well as scholarly research. To do this with authors, reviewers, an editorial team, and a publisher is a very rewarding collaborative activity that exceeds the hours of searching for stray punctuation marks, missing references and correct verb tense. Thank you to the IDEA Board for trusting this team to bring the journal through this transformative phase. Huge kudos to Susan Hedges (AUT), Luke Tipene (UTS), Anthony Fryatt (RMIT), Antony Pelosi (VUW) and our external advisor Lois Weinthal (Ryerson) for the hours of reviewing, editing, networking and corresponding. Congratulations to all the authors of this issue, for your patience, openness to critique and willingness to be part of idea journal’s next fresh life.

Julieanna Preston
Executive Editor
idea journal
August 2020

I am forever grateful for what life in Aotearoa/New Zealand brings. With roots stretching across the oceans to North America, Sweden, Wales and Croatia, I make my home between Kāpiti Island and the Tararua Ranges, and in Te Whanganui-A-Tara/Wellington. I acknowledge the privilege that comes with being educated, employed, female and Pākehā, and the prejudices and injustices that colonialism has and continues to weigh on this land and its indigenous people. I am committed to on-going learning and practising of Kaupapa Māori.